Attachment A – Previous resolutions relating to the preparation of a new planning scheme (City Plan) and the Building Height Study:

1. Resolution 1 – 30 April 2013

The following resolution relates to Council’s proposal to prepare a new planning scheme and request a revised delivery strategy to support the early gazettal of the proposed City Plan by 31 January 2015.

While the resolution does not make mention of the building height study, it is important to show the progression of drafting the City Plan from its inception to completion and where the building height study fits in.

Council at its meeting held 30 April 2013 resolved (G13.0430.021):

1. That the review of the Gold Coast Planning Scheme 2003 recorded in the Current State Analysis (Volumes 1 and 2, dated August 2009) constitutes an assessment of the achievement of the strategic outcomes stated in that planning scheme for the purposes of Section 91 of the Sustainable Planning Act 2009.

2. That in accordance with Section 92(a) of the Sustainable Planning Act 2009 Council resolves to propose to prepare a new planning scheme.

3. That in accordance with the Economic Development Act 2012, Council resolves to commence preparation for a priority development area (declaration) request for Southport in 2013, on the condition that full delegations under the Act for plan-making and development assessment are provided to the City of Gold Coast.

4. That the Director Planning Environment and Transport bring forward a report investigating an additional priority development area (declaration) request for the Light Rail Corridor, as part of broader considerations of the scope of the Planning Scheme 2015 Portfolio (report expected July 2013).

5. That the Mayor write to the Minister for State Development, Infrastructure and Planning to advise that Council has:

   a. resolved to propose to prepare a new planning scheme, and request an outline of the state planning instruments relevant to the making of the planning scheme, including confirmation of the State interests;

   b. resolved to commence preparations for a priority development area (declaration) request for Southport, and seeks the assistance of Economic Development Queensland in preparing Council’s request;

   c. resolved to include consideration of the specific sites set out in Resolution G12.0724.034 Part 2 within the Planning Scheme 2015, as part of the Urban Footprint, or at such time as the State Government reviews the Urban Footprint, whichever occurs first;

   d. resolved to request that the State Government include the abovementioned sites in the Urban Footprint at the earliest possible time rather than await gazettal of Council’s Planning Scheme 2015.

6. a. That Council request that a revised delivery strategy be the subject of a report to the City Planning Committee which schedules gazettal of the Planning Scheme by 31 January 2015;

   b. That a revised budget submission be made having regard to 6 (a).
2. Resolution 2 – 21 June 2013

The following resolution relates to the revised delivery strategy for the draft City Plan.

While the resolution does not make mention of the building height study, it is important to show the progression of drafting the City Plan from its inception to completion and where the building height study fits in.

Council at its meeting held 21 June 2013 resolved (G13.0621.029):

1. That Council endorses the City Plan 2015 revised delivery strategy (attachment 1) and notes the critical milestones leading up to State interest review.

2. That Council supports the urgent transfer and allocation and/or procurement of resources in consultation with the Mayor, Chief Executive Officer and Chair of City Planning Committee to support the revised delivery strategy.

3. That Council notes additional funding requirements may need to be addressed at the time of future budget reviews (September 2013 or March 2014).

4. That Council notes the identified risks and issues that have the potential to impact on the revised delivery strategy identified in the report.

3. Resolution 3 – 6 August 2013

The following resolution relates to the endorsed scope for the draft City Plan. Of note, Attachment A included three (3) parts (Parts A, B and C). Part B included a ‘working list’ of high priority transformational policy settings for inclusion as part of the first major update to the City Plan. This list included the Building height policy – Coastal and Broadwater strip study. Part B was not formally endorsed by Council at this meeting.

Council at its meeting held 6 August 2013 resolved (G13.0806.20):

1. That Council endorses the confirmed list of high priority policy improvements for City Plan 2015 (Part A – Scope List – Attachment A).

2. That a copy of this report and endorse confirmed list of high priority policy improvements for City Plan 2015 (Part A – Scope List – Attachment A) be forwarded to all Gold Coast based State and Federal members.

4. Resolution 4 – 30 January 2015

The following resolution is about responding to draft City Plan 2015 submissions, in particular those relating to Gold Coast Airport. The resolution references the building height study as the Coastal and Broadwater Strip Building Height study (as underlined below) and that it is to be undertaken as part of Amendment 1 (now known as Major update 1).

It is important to note, no formal resolution to endorse the scope for the first update to the City Plan was made prior to this meeting. The scope for Major update 1 was endorsed on 1 September 2015 and did not include the building height study.

Council at its meeting held 30 January 2015 resolved (G15.0130.017):
3 That Council resolves not to change the draft City Plan 2015 in response to the following Gold Coast Airport points of submission:

- Consider the legislative planning framework for 130 &132 Coolangatta Road, Bilinga
- Reduce building height limits along Coolangatta beachfront (Marine Parade)
- Include code provisions for tower height within Telecommunications and broadcasting facilities, which reference Airport Overlay maps (PAN-OPS and OLS)
- Concern with the code outcomes for transient aviation activities

as follows,

a Council defer planning framework considerations for 130 &132 Coolangatta Road, Bilinga (Lot 3 on RP179416 & Lot 1 on RP91922) to the Gold Coast Airport Environ Planning Investigation to be undertaken as part of Amendment 1.

b The matter of reduced building height limits along Coolangatta beachfront along Marine Parade (to 78m) be deferred for consideration as part of Building Height Policy – Coastal & Broadwater Strip, to be undertaken as part of Amendment 1.

c No changes be made to the Telecommunications and broadcasting facilities code on the basis of the assessment hierarchy of a QPP compliant planning scheme, whereby Overlays prevail over all other City Plan 2015 components (other than the strategic framework and statewide codes) to the extent of any inconsistency.

d The concern over the intended regulation of transient aviation activities (PO8) is noted, however the code outcome is identified as a State interest matter within Appendix 5 of the State Planning Policy (2014).

5. Resolution 5 – 3 March 2015

The following resolution is about responding to draft City Plan 2015 submissions, in particular those relating to general concerns about density. The resolution references the building height study as the Coastal and Broadwater Strip Building Height study and that it is to be undertaken as part of Amendment 1 (now known as Major update 1).

It is important to note, no formal resolution to endorse the scope for the first update to the City Plan was made prior to this meeting. The scope for Major update 1 was endorsed on 1 September 2015 and did not include the building height study.

Council at its meeting held 3 March 2015 resolved (G15.0303.013):

5.4 That Council resolves not to change the draft City Plan 2015 in response to the following points of the submission:

- there has not been a review of residential densities to align with the proposed unlimited building heights between Main Beach and Broadbeach; and
- that whilst residential density is no longer an impact assessment trigger in most instances, exceeding code assessable densities does trigger the need to undertake an urban design bonus assessment which could add significant cost to the development process;

based on the following:

a) A review of densities is reliant on a review of infrastructure. Infrastructure matters will be investigated with the preparation of the Local Government Infrastructure
Plan (LGIP). Council notes the LGIP is set to be delivered by the mandated, statutory timeframe of 1 July 2016.

b) The draft City Plan 2015 has removed the 3rd party appeal triggers for residential density increases in the Centre, Medium density residential and High density residential zones.

c) Further, a ‘Coastal and Broadwater Strip Building Height study’ has been endorsed as part of Amendment 1. This study will review appropriate densities and building heights.

d) City Plan 2015 has been drafted to facilitate the provision of more than 20 years’ supply of land for housing. It places a specific emphasis on focused infill areas with an emphasis on urban renewal and regeneration and increased densities within the City’s urban area.

e) The Community benefit bonus element policy replaces the current Plot ratio bonus policy. It should be noted the bonuses have not changed, only the way they are measured (i.e. density rather than gross floor area).

6. Resolution 6 – 3 March 2015

The following resolution is about responding to draft City Plan 2015 submissions, in particular those relating to general concerns about height. The resolution references the building height study as the Coastal and Broadwater Strip Building Height study (as underlined below) and that it is to be undertaken as part of Amendment 1 (now known as Major update 1).

It is important to note, no formal resolution to endorse the scope for the first update to the City Plan was made prior to this meeting. The scope for Major update 1 was endorsed on 1 September 2015 and did not include the building height study.

Council at its meeting held 3 March 2015 resolved (G15.0303.013):

5 That Council resolves not to change the draft City Plan 2015 in response to the submission that raises concern there is no building height increase between Seaside Avenue and Seashell Avenue in Mermaid Beach as follows:

   a) The height designation for this area is a policy transfer from the 2003 Planning Scheme.

   b) There has been no study conducted to determine the suitability of a height increase in this area.

   c) The residential density designation (RD4 – 1 dwelling per 200m²) can be facilitated in a low-rise format, as envisaged by the Medium density residential zone.

   d) A Coastal and Broadwater strip Building Height Study, is to be conducted as part of amendment 1, which will inform building height designations for this area.

7. Resolution 7 – 3 March 2015

The following resolution is about responding to draft City Plan 2015 submissions, in particular those relating to general concerns about land use, neighbourhood character and amenity. The resolution references the building height study as the Coastal and Broadwater Strip Building Height study (as underlined below) and that it is to be undertaken as part of Amendment 1 (now known as Major update 1).

It is important to note, no formal resolution to endorse the scope for the first update to the City Plan was made prior to this meeting. The scope for Major update 1 was endorsed on 1 September 2015 and did not include the building height study.
Council at its meeting held 3 March 2015 resolved (G15.0303.013):

8.20 That Council resolves not to change the draft City Plan 2015 in response to the submission which is concerned that the Gold Coast Highway between Broadbeach and Nobby's Beach is being under utilised and requesting the area is used for mixed use development, based on the following:

a) The City's urban structure as articulated by the Strategic Framework, reinforces urban legibility, centre identity, sense of place and specific urban neighbourhoods.

b) The clear transition from Broadbeach south is represented by the Coastal transects (Figures 4 and 5b of the Strategic Framework) and provides a contrasting development form between the higher intensity of Surfers–Broadbeach and the Miami–Burleigh Heads coastal strips (predominantly zoned High density residential).

c) A Mixed use zoning is provided along the highway south of Broadbeach, consistent with current land use patterns and a wide range of land use types including (but not limited to) retail, commercial, food outlets and tourist accommodation. A height designation of 24m facilitates constructive redevelopment opportunities in this location.

d) Opportunity for redevelopment is afforded through the Medium density residential zone at a scale and intensity consistent with the purpose and overall outcomes of the zone.

e) Any further extension of the Mixed Use zone along the Gold Coast Highway to the south will be contingent upon the findings of the ‘Coastal and Broadwater Strip Building Height study' to be undertaken as part of Amendment 1 for City plan 2015.

8. Resolution 8 – 3 March 2015

The following resolution is about responding to draft City Plan 2015 submissions, in particular those relating to concerns about the increased building height and residential density in Tugun Village Centre. The resolution references the building height study as the Coastal and Broadwater Strip Building Height study (as underlined below) and that it is to be undertaken as part of Amendment 1 (now known as Major update 1). The scope for Major update 1 was endorsed on 1 September 2015 and did not include the building height study.

Council at its meeting held 3 March 2015 resolved (G15.0303.013):

1 That Council resolves to change the 2015 City Plan, in response to the following points of submission relating to the increased building height and residential density in Tugun Village Centre:

i. over 100 submissions were received that directly related to matters of height, density and character pertaining to Tugun Village Centre.

ii. specifically the submitters raised the following concerns

- impact of increased Building Height;
- loss of views;
- loss of amenity caused by increased intensity;
- overshadowing;
- wind tunnelling;
- aircraft noise reverberation.
based on the following:

a) Tugun Village Centre is considered to have a unique village character that could be compromised by the proposed 24m (5 Storey) height limit. This increase in height would be a substantive change from the existing predominantly low density form and should be considered more thoroughly through the mechanism of a character study.

b) Amending the Building Height Overlay Map to align with the current 2 storey and 3 storey height designations will better reflect the existing character of the area, until a further character study can be undertaken.

c) Concurrently, density designations should be reduced so that the development intensity aligns with the intended heights.

d) Amending the Residential Density Overlay Map to align with the current density designations will better reflect the existing character of the area.

e) A Coastal and Broadwater Strip Building Height study has been endorsed as a part of Amendment 1. This study will review residential densities and building heights in coastal areas and areas relating to the Broadwater strip.

f) It should also be noted that an economic review (which is yet to be endorsed by Council) has recommended that the Tugun Village Centre be rezoned to Neighbourhood centre (as part of Amendment 1), finding that:

   a) The centre does not and is unlikely to support a district scale role and function;
   b) The centre is impacted by land capacity constraints as well as competition from surrounding higher order centres; and
   c) Redevelopment of the centre to support a District centre role and function would require significant redevelopment which will impact the built form and character of the centre.

As such, the additional height and residential density designations included to support higher order centres, are not justified for Tugun Village at this time.


The following resolution is about responding to draft City Plan 2015 submissions, in particular those requesting a change of building height in certain areas (as detailed in the resolution). The resolution references the building height study as the Coastal and Broadwater Strip Building Height study (as underlined below) and that it is to be undertaken as part of Amendment 1 (now known as Major update 1). The scope for Major update 1 was endorsed on 1 September 2015 and did not include the building height study.

Council at its meeting held 3 March 2015 resolved (G15.0303.013):

2 That Council resolves not to change the draft City Plan 2015 in response to submissions requesting a different building height at the following areas / properties within the Coastal / Broadwater Strip area:

- Building height provisions where located within and outside of the Palm Beach District Centre and predominantly east of the Gold Coast Hwy, Palm Beach.
- Area to the east and west of Seaworld Dr and south of Palazzo Versace, ‘The Spit’, Main Beach.
- Building height provisions in the beachfront area, Broadbeach South
- Building height provisions along Mclean St, Coolangatta.

based on the following:

a) A Coastal and Broadwater Strip Building Height study has been endorsed as a part of Amendment 1. This study will review appropriate residential
The following resolution is about responding to draft City Plan 2015 submissions, in particular) the planning controls for 14, 16 and 18 Marine Parade, Coolangatta. The resolution references the building height study as the Coastal and Broadwater Strip Building Height study and that it is to be undertaken as part of a ‘future amendment’. This changed from previous resolution(s). The scope for Major update 1 was endorsed on 1 September 2015 and did not include the building height study.

Council at its meeting held 2 April 2015 resolved (G15.0402.021):

10 That Council resolves not to change the draft City Plan 2015 in response to the following points of submission:

- objects to the proposed planning controls for 14, 16, and 18 Marine Parade, 101 Musgrave Street and 3 Rutledge Street, Coolangatta as they conflict with the SEQ Regional Plan and the Strategic Framework as it:
  - does not facilitate increased densities and infill development in close proximity to Activity Centres (Coolangatta) Specialist Centres (Gold Coast Airport) and along high frequency public transport routes (Gold Coast highway and Tugun bypass Miles Street) and planned light rail and heavy rail investigation areas
  - fails to support the growth of Coolangatta as a Major Activity Centre for the southern Gold Coast
  - fails to support the continued growth in popularity of the Coolangatta/Kirra beachside tourism area
  - is contrary to the emerging predominant development pattern in the Kirra beachfront area
  - is not required to protect Kirra Point and Kirra Hill;
  - is inconsistent with Council’s recent approval for 15 storey mixed use development
  - prevents efficient use of the site for mixed use development;

- requests 14, 16, and 18 Marine Parade, 101 Musgrave Street and 3 Rutledge Street, Coolangatta has a building height of a minimum of 54m, 15 storeys or the current approved height under the existing Development Approval (whichever is higher);

- requests 14, 16, and 18 Marine Parade, 101 Musgrave Street and 3 Rutledge Street, Coolangatta has a Residential Density of RD8 (Up to 769 bedrooms per net hectare (1 bed/13m2));

- requests the zoning of 14, 16, and 18 Marine Parade, 101 Musgrave Street and 3 Rutledge Street, Coolangatta be changed from Neighbourhood Centre Zone to High Density Residential Zone, whilst retaining all land-use entitlements under its Neighbourhood Centre Zoning;

based on the following:

a) All the properties are proposed to be zoned Neighbourhood centre under the draft City Plan 2015 as well as the Building Height: 3 Storeys (15m) Overlay and the Residential Density (RD6) Overlay. The purpose of the Neighbourhood centre zone is to provide for a small mix of land uses to service residential neighbourhoods. Neighbourhood centres differ from mixed use centres and specialist centres as they are smaller and comprise a mix of smaller-scale uses.
b) The land is also included in Precinct 7 – Kirra, of the Coolangatta Local Area Plan in the Gold Coast Planning Scheme 2003. The intent of this precinct is to contain a mix of tourist accommodation, residential living and local services to meet the needs of residents and the visitor population.

Uses which are more akin to the regional centre designation including entertainment, community services and higher order commercial and retail functions, are not appropriate.

c) The inclusion of the land in the Neighbourhood Centre Zone of the draft City Plan 2015 is consistent with Precinct 7 of the Coolangatta Local Area Plan. Under the Coolangatta Local Area Plan the land has a maximum building height of 3 storeys.

d) The inclusion of the land in the Building Height: 3 Storeys (15m) Overlay and the Residential Density (RD6) Overlay in the draft City Plan 2015 is a ‘best fit’ translation from the Coolangatta Local Area Plan in the Gold Coast Planning Scheme 2003.

e) Importantly, the inclusion of the land in the Overlays does not take away development rights established by previous development approvals. In addition, the draft City Plan 2015 is not rezoning land for particular development approvals and therefore no action will be taken.

f) It is not appropriate for Council to zone the site to reflect an existing approval, particularly where this may conflict with Council’s more recent policy position for the site. It is understood that the approval referenced is also currently the subject of an appeal and can therefore not necessarily be relied upon as representing the appropriate zoning for the property.

g) A Coastal and Broadwater Strip Building Height study has been endorsed as a part of a future amendment. This study will review appropriate residential densities and building heights in coastal areas and areas relating to the Coastal and Broadwater Strip.

11. Resolution 11 – 2 April 2015

The following resolution is about responding to draft City Plan 2015 submissions, in particular, those submissions relating to the prescriptive building height test (“50% rule”). The resolution references the building height study as the Building Height Policy – Coastal & Broadwater Strip (as underlined below) and that it is to be undertaken as part of a ‘future amendment’. This changed from previous resolution(s). The scope for Major update 1 was endorsed on 1 September 2015 and did not include the building height study.

Council at its meeting held 2 April 2015 resolved (G15.0402.021):

3.1 BUILDING HEIGHTS

1 That the presentation on Making Changes Now to the City Plan 2015 be noted.

2 That Council resolves not to change the draft City Plan 2015 in response to points of submission which object to the prescriptive building height test in the Strategic Framework, based on the following:

   a) Significant opportunities exist for building heights in identified new “building height subject to design criteria and site context” areas within Broadbeach, Surfers Paradise and Southport.

   b) The 50% building height test is not intended to apply in Major and Principal mixed use centres and Specialist centres.

   c) Council has commenced a Coastal and Broadwater Corridor Building Height study scheduled for a future amendment.
d) Increases in building heights above 50% may occur where sufficient grounds exist to support a proposal and justify a decision despite any conflict with the City Plan 2015 (section 326(1)(a) of the SPA).

3 That officers review the relevant sections of the Strategic Framework to ensure the intent for the 50% building height test does not apply in Major and Principal mixed use centres or Specialist centres is clear.

4 That officers be authorised to update the draft City Plan 2015 as required to include these changes.

That officers be authorised to consider and prepare responses for all points of submission, including all secondary and supporting points of submission to resolve the above.

12. Resolution 12 – 2 April 2015

The following resolution is about responding to draft City Plan 2015 submissions, in particular, a request to review the planning controls for Currumbin Wildlife Sanctuary owned land to the north of Tomewin St. The resolution references the building height study as the Coastal and Broadwater Strip Building Height study (as underlined below) and that it is to be undertaken as part of a ‘future amendment’. This changed from previous resolution(s). The scope for Major update 1 was endorsed on 1 September 2015 and did not include the building height study.

Council at its meeting held 2 April 2015 resolved (G15.0402.021):

2 That Council resolves not to change the draft City Plan 2015 in response to the following points of submission:

• requests Currumbin Wildlife Sanctuary owned land north of Tomewin Street be rezoned from the Major Tourism Zone – Wildlife Park Precinct to a higher order urban zone to allow for the development of a mix of business activities, residential and short-term accommodation uses;

• requests the Currumbin Wildlife Sanctuary owned land north of Tomewin Street have a density and site cover that reflects the potential future use of the site, alongside a building height which aligns with the adjoining medium density residential zone (15m);

based on the following:

a) The draft City Plan 2015 tables of assessment for development in the Major Tourism Zone improve the processes of approval with respect to activities ancillary to the operational tourist attraction, tourism and entertainment activities.

b) While the Major Tourism Zone Code allows for a mix of uses that supports the needs of tourists and visitors, accommodation uses are specifically not supported in the Wildlife Park Precinct as it considered that these uses would erode the primary purpose of the precinct.

c) A Coastal and Broadwater Strip Building Height study has been endorsed as a part of a future Amendment to the City Plan 2015. This study will review building heights in coastal areas and areas relating to the Coastal and Broadwater Strip.

d) A review of densities is reliant on a review of infrastructure. Infrastructure matters will be considered as part of a future amendment to the City Plan 2015 within the Urban Neighbourhoods and Future Transit Corridors Planning Investigation.

13. Resolution 13 – 1 September 2015

The following resolution relates to the endorsed scope for the first major update to the City Plan (formerly known as ‘November 2015 City Plan major amendment’). Of note, the report presented to Council (resulting from City Plan 2015 Special Committee workshops in July and August 2015) also
made reference to the scope for two (2) other proposed major updates to the City Plan. These updates where known as:

- May 2016 City Plan major amendment (now known as Major update 2); and
- October 2016 City Plan major amendment (now known as Major update 3).

The Building height study was included in the proposed scope list for the ‘October 2016 City Plan major amendment’ (currently referred to as Major update 3). The scope lists for the two (2) proposed updates were not formally endorsed by Council at this meeting.

As such, Council at its meeting held 1 September 2015 resolved (G15.0901.023):

1. That upon commencement, the ‘draft City Plan 2015’ shall be referred to as ‘City Plan’ in all City of Gold Coast documentation.

2. The following City Plan amendment program be adopted:
   a. Administrative and minor amendments will occur on an ‘as needs basis’
   b. Target submission of major amendments to the State Government every 6 months

3. The first major amendment to the City Plan be submitted for State interest review during November 2015, subject to City Plan commencement.

4. The updated Scope List – “November 2015 City Plan major amendment” be adopted, as follows:
   a. Small Lot Housing review
   b. Party House land use controls
   c. Flood policy review
   d. Community Benefits bonus policy review
   e. Level of assessment for community based land uses
   f. Burleigh Village character
   g. High priority policy adjustments

5. The ongoing development of policy to inform the proposed May 2016 and October 2016 City Plan major amendment releases be noted.

6. The updated City Plan Special Committee Terms of Reference (Version 3, dated 17 August 2015) be adopted.


The following resolution relates to a presentation of a confidential report to the City Planning Committee detailing the City Plan work program. It was recommended that the Building height study be brought forward to Major update 2 (it was identified for inclusion as Major update 3)

As such, Council at its meeting held 15 September 2016 resolved (G16.0915.019).

1. That the report and attachment be deemed a confidential document and be treated as such in accordance with sections 171 (3) and 200 (5) of the Local Government Act 2009 and that the document remain confidential unless Council decides otherwise by resolution.

3. That the report and attachment be noted.
3 That feedback is provided by the City Planning Committee by 20 September 2016 on the prioritisation of these projects based on their importance.

4 That Item 29 - Building Height Study (Coastal and Broadwater Strip) - be brought forward to Major Update 2.

The following resolution relates to the ‘introduction’ of the Building height study to Council (G16.1207.016):

1 That the report be deemed a confidential document and be treated as such in accordance with sections 171 (3) and 200 (5) of the Local Government Act 2009 and that the document remain confidential unless Council decides otherwise by resolution.

2 That the contents of this report be noted.

16. Resolution 16 – 30 May 2017
The following resolution relates to the proposal to initiate Major update 2. This update includes the Building height study (G17.0530.018):

1 That the report/attachment be deemed non-confidential except for those parts deemed by the Chief Executive Officer to remain confidential in accordance with sections 171 (3) and 200 (5) of the Local Government Act 2009.

2 That Council proposes to commence a major amendment (Major update 2) to the City Plan in accordance with section 117(1) of the Sustainable Planning Act 2009 and Statutory Guideline 01/16 ‘Making and amending local planning instruments’.

3 That the scope list for Major update 2 outlined in Attachment 1 be endorsed.

4 That Council advise the Minister of this decision, in accordance with section 117(1) of the Sustainable Planning Act 2009 and Statutory Guideline 01/16 Making and amending local planning instruments, by providing:

   a a written statement advising of the decision to update City Plan;
   b a written statement about the nature and details of Major update 2 (Attachment 1); and
   c a written statement about how State and regional interests may be integrated within the proposed Major update 2.

5 That the Chief Executive Officer be authorised to prepare and update the written statements dealing with matters referred to in 4 (a) to (c) addressed to the Minister.

6 That further reports detailing the City Plan updates for each scope item be brought back for consideration by the City Planning Committee.

7 That upon receipt of the letter from the Minister confirming state interests, officers proceed to prepare Major update 2 to the City Plan.